252

FOR MYSORE STATE

Secti, H-27—68,003—6-1-53.

Rev. (1952) Department.

Ms SERIES.

G.O. NO. 607

Dated 30/5/52.

Closed
28/6/52

[Despatch Abstract.]

Allowances- Jasdik - (S. Kanara)
Pattam Jahle - Neltiam. gramadevi - Jk-
S. No. 349 - Ishwaramangala Panchayat
Dev - Road mission - Sanctions

Revenue Department.
Subject:

F.10264/3

ALLOWANCES - Tasdik (South Kanara), Puthur Taluk - Nettani,Perudhur village - T.D. No.349 - Ishwaramangala Panchalinga Dev - Readmission - sanction - requested.

No. Ref.T.6053/51-7 Station: M a d r a s. Date: 20-3-52.

From

Sri A.F. Mascarenhas, I.A.S., Secretary, Board of Revenue.

Sri W.R.S. Sattianathan, I.C.S., Commissioner of Land Revenue.

...

Read: From the Collector of S. Kanara Ref. 17315/51.A.5 dated 23-7-51.
From the Commissioner H.R & C.E.Letter No. 126115/51-3 Adn. dated25-11-51.
From the Collector of S.Kanara Ref.C.17315/51-A.5 dated 14-12-51.

...

REFERENCE:

Submitted to the Government.

2. The Board requests the sanction of the Government for the readmission of the allowance and the payment of the arrears from F.1359.

for SECRETARY.

To -
The Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.
with enclosures.

PLG/20/3.

P.T.O

2

2

Copy of letter Ref.17315/51.A.5 dated 23-7-1951 from the Collector of S.~~Arcot~~ Kanara

...

Allowances - Tasdik -South Kanara District - Puttur Taluk- Nettanigemuthur village- T.D. o.349 - Ishwaramangala Panchalinga Dev - readmission - report submitted.

..

The institution T.D.No.349 Ishwaramangala Panchalinga Dev of Nettanigemuthur village, Puttur Taluk (Old Kasaragod Taluk) was in receipt of a tasdik allowance of Rs.120/- per annum under the beriz deduction system. It is reported that the allowance was being paid till the year 1902 and it was stopped in the year 1903 as the building of the institution was in disrepairs and services were not regularly performed. In the year 1911 the allowance was struck off the register. The relevant orders are not forthcoming but the Inam Fair Register of Kasargod Taluk shows that this institution was in receipt of a tasdik allowance of Rs.120/- per annum.

2. The institution has been renovated at a considerable expense and the daily services etc., are being performed since 1948. Messrs.Kochappa Rai and K.C. Ramanna Shetty and Thimmappa Rai who were responsible for the renovation of the Temple have been appointed as the trustees of this temple by the H.R.E. Board. The Trustees now apply for the readmission of the allowance and payment of the arrears from fasli 1359. It is nearly 40 years since the allowance has been struck off the registers and readmission is not ordinarily admissible in such cases. But in this case the temple has been renovated at a considerable cost and services both daily and annual are being regularly performed since 1948. I therefore recommend the readmission of the allowance and payment of the arrears since fasli 1359.

3. The connected papers of enquiry are enclosed.

/True copy/

3

Copy of letter No.126115/51-3 ADR dated 25-11-1951 from the Commissioner of Religious and Charitable Endowments, Madras.

...

Allowances - Tasdik - South Kanara District - Puttur Taluk - Nettaligemudnur village - T.S. No.349 - Ishwara Mangala Panchalinga Devasthanam readmission - recommended.

Ref: Your office ref.T.16059/51-1 dated 11-9-1951.

...

In transmitting your office reference cited above, I recommend that the institution may be readmitted to the Tasdik allowance to which it was formerly entitled as the temple is now reported to be functioning properly and that the temple buildings are reported to be kept in good condition.

/True copy/

Copy of South Kanara Collector's Ref.D.17315/51-A.5 dated 14-12-51.

...

Allowances - Tasdik(S.Kanara) Puttur Taluk - Nettaligemudnur village T.S.No.349 - Ishwara Mangala Panchalinga Dev. Readmission.

Ref: Board's Ref.T.6059/51-4 dated 5-12-51.

...

The entry in the Inam Fair Register of Kasargod Taluk showing the allowance of Rs.120/- to this institution is the only authority forthcoming. In the inam Fair Register of Kasargod Taluk issued by the Inam Commissioner on 4-8-1868, this institution has been shown against serial No.349.

2. The records of enquiry pp.1-52 are resubmitted.

/True copy/

(R)

PLE/20/3.

for Secretary.

Revenue Department

Read:- From the Board of Rev Ref. T.6059/51-7 dated 20-3-52

Pl. issue
29/5/52

G.O. No 1607 dated 30-5-52

In the circumstances stated, the Govt. accept the recommendation of the Board of Revenue and sanction the ~~removal~~ resumption of the ~~payment of a~~ tasdik allowance of Rs 120/- (Rupees one hundred and twenty only) per annum ~~due~~ to the institution, T.D. No 349. Bwavamangala Panchalingadeva of Hettarigeludnur Village, Puttur Taluk, S. Kanara ~~from Fasli 1359.~~ ~~The Govt also order~~ and the payment of arrears, ~~if any~~ from Fasli 1359.

17/5/52 AAS. 20.5.52

24.5.52

26/5

To
The Board of Revenue (L.R)
The Collector of South Kanara
A.G through Finance.

25/5

Rev. Depts.

Allowances - Tasdik (South Kanara) - Puttur Taluk - Nethanigemuduar village - T.D. no. 349 - Dakwaramangala Panchalinga Dev - Readmission - Sanction - Requested.

Cno. 17026 H/52. From the Bd. of Rev. Mf. T. 6059/51-7 dt 20.3.52

To A-S.

Please see the Refce. from the Bd. of Rev and the enclosures in the C.f. and the records of enquiry at (R).

2. The facts of the case are briefly explained in the Collr. letter on p. 2 c.f. The institution, T.D. 349 Dakwaramangala Panchalinga Dev. was in receipt of an annual allowance of Rs 120/-. The Tahsr. has stated that the Taluk Register no. 10 for fasli 1320 (1911) shows that the institution was struck off the register as per Taluk D. Dis. 1653/20-10-1910, and that the said fish is not now available having been destroyed under the rules. He has also stated that the institution does not find a place in the printed list of money allowances 25 (R) from the records of enquiry it appears that Cf. para 4 f/ 17-18 (R) the allowance has not been paid to the institution since 1903 as the building was in repairs and the services were not performed regularly. Apparently the grant of the money allowance was discontinued as the services were not performed regularly. It is now reported that the institution has been renovated and regular service is being performed from 1948 (fasli 1358) and the proposal is that the money allowance should be revived from fasli 1358.

Ad

3. B.S.O. 468- B.S.O. Vol II* contains instruction in regard to payment of temple service allowances

2
10

There are no instructions in the B.S.O. for the discontinuance of such allowances or ~~readmission~~ to its revival. It may be presumed that the general instruction in B.S.O. 52 in regard to resumption and re-grant of inams attached to religious and charitable institutions will apply to this case. If the value of the inam exceeds Rs 100/- per annum the Board of Rev. is the final authority for resumption and reassignment. There is no mention of the period within which re-assignment shall be made after resumption. Hfp 410-413-1880 Vol II A B.S.O. 54-4. Hfp 413-411-

4. In B.S.O. 61 relating to payment of arrears of pensions it is laid down that any pension or other cash allowance, the payment of which has not been sought for more than six years, shall be struck off the registers and all arrears shall be forfeited. It is also stated in the B.S.O. that the Board of Rev. may however revive lapsed allowances and sanction payment of arrears in all cases where the institution has been kept up and service has been properly rendered. It is not clear whether these instructions will apply to grant of money allowances to temples. Hfp 443-445 B.S.O. 57-1(7)

5. In the present case the institution is now under the Control of the H.R.E. Board, and trustees have been appointed by it. The trustees have now applied for the readmission of the allowance and payment of the arrears from Fasli 1359. It is nearly 40 years since the allowance has been struck off the registers and nearly 50 years since payment of the allowance was discontinued. The Collr. states that readmission Hfp 47 (R) Hfp 1-2 (R)

is not ordinarily admissible in such Cases. The has however recommended the readmission of the allowance and the payment of the arrears since fasli 1359 for the reason that the temple has been renovated at Considerable Cost and regular worships both daily and annual are being regularly performed since 1948. The Board has not offered any remarks. It has requested the sanction of the Govt. for the readmission of the allowance and the payment of the arrears from fasli 1359.

It. This dept. may accept the recommendation of the Collr. R.W. and Fin. may all for remarks.

Collr's 7.4.52.

The Board's recommendation may be accepted and the payment of the allowances revised with effect from fasli 1359.

14.4.52

In view of X on f 2 c/f the request is reasonable, & may be supported.

25/4/52

Rev no 17026 H/52-1
28/4/52

RW / FIN

Rev. U

The Board's recommendation may be supported in this dept. also and the papers sent to Finance.

1.5.52

R.W. no 29838 U/52-1
1.5.52

4.

from. (Accts.) C.No. 17026 H/52

Notes from p. 1 above may be perused.
The proposal at 'Z' on p. 3 above
may be agreed to.

PB 10.5.52
12.5.52

DB 14.5.52

Rev 15.5.52

ADDITIONAL ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
17 MAY 1952
317481
REVENUE DEPARTMENT

To A.S Please see the notes from p. 1 ante ~~Submitted for perusal.~~ is submitted
~~The~~ A draft order below ~~may be~~ for approved.

Rev Dept from. U.O. 33139-Accts/52
16.5.52

Offrs
Pl. attach
indexslip
to the draft
order.
DB
24/5/52

LDK 17/5/52 VRS 20.5.(51) -52

Chandrasekharan 24.5.52

Hon. Sec.

Asst
DB.
25.5.
Sec 29/5.

M. A. Manickavasagam
H. A. (d R.) 29/5

Sec 1.6.52

Rev Dept

Memo no 40463 H/52-1 dated 26-6-'52

Allowances – Tasdik (S. Kanara) – Puttur Tk – Veltiarigemudur Vge – T.D. No 349 – Ishwaramangala Panchalinga Deo – Readmission sanctioned – ordered – return of records

Ref :– From the Bd of Rev Lr. Dis T. 3105/52 dt 16-6-52
G.O. Ms 1607 dt 30-5-'52

The records of enquiry are returned herewith to the Collector of S. Kanara.

Pl. issue
23/6/52

26/6
C
p4 of (A)

(B)
1 recd with the Boards Ref cd T. 6059/57-7 dt 20.3.52

To
The Collector of S. Kanara
(with enclosures)
Copy to the Dy/ of Rev.

(B1)

Note :– After issue, the papers may be stitched with the Memo at (A).

Why were the records

retained in office? Or in different way of doing things - If so there no reforms of improvements.

23.6.52

To A.S.

The omission to specify the enclosures in the address entry is regretted

25/6/52

27.6.52